

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/538,784	06/10/2005	Michael McNiven Rumsey	TTP104246	4306
20280 7590 05/05/2008 MOTOROLA INC		EXAMINER		
600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45			YU, LIHONG	
W4 - 39Q LIBERTYVIL	LE, IL 60048-5343		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DOCKETING.LIBERTYVILLE@MOTOROLA.COM ADB035@Motorola.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/538,784 RUMSEY, MICHAEL MCNIVEN Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit LIHONG YU 2611 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 June 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 June 2005 is/are; a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/28/2007, 6/10/2005.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 14-17, 19-22, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Diab et al (US 6.229.856 B1) in view of Peterzell et al (US 6.694.129 B2).

Regarding claims 14 and 20:

Diab discloses an apparatus for preparing a signal, to be processed by a receiver which will attempt to recover information conveyed by the signal (see Diab at col. 2, lines 11-33, col. 27, lines 59-63, where Diab describes selecting certain harmonics of a signal for demodulation by a receiver and multi-channel pre-demodulation), the apparatus comprising:

a filter adapted to filter the signal in a digital form (see Diab at Fig. 18, block 1820, 1840, 1830, and 1834) having samples appearing at a sample rate (see Diab at col. 28, lines 27-63, where Diab describes the received signal is sampled by a A/D converter before is provided to the digital low pass filters),

Art Unit: 2611

an adjuster (see Diab at Fig. 18, block 1850) adapted to adjust the sample rate (see Diab at col. 27, lines 59-65, and col. 28, lines 32-35, where Diab describes an adjustable decimation rate is provided by an adaptive algorithm block).

- · wherein the filter is capable of filtering the signal in a first manner which is required when the receiver is of a first type and in a second manner which is required when the receiver is of a second type (see Diab at col. 27, lines 59-67, col. 28, lines 1-26, where Diab discuses multi-channel demodulation).
- the adjuster is adapted to perform adjustments to the sample rate when the receiver is of the second type (see Diab at col. 28, lines 27-53, where Diab describes the adaptive algorithm block controls sample rate compression),
- the adjustments comprise altering the sample rate before the signal is filtered to permit the filter to perform filtering in the second manner (see Diab at col. 28, lines 27-63, where Diab describes reducing the sample rate before digital low pass filtering), and
- altering the sample rate after the signal has been filtered to provide the signal with a sample rate required by the second type of receiver (see col. 27, lines 59-65, and col. 28, lines 40-67, col. 29, lines 25-40, where Diab teaches post-demodulation decimation).
- Diab discloses selective demodulation (see Diab at col. 28, lines 40-53, col. 28, lines 65-67, col. 29, lines 1-5, where Diab discusses the adaptive algorithm block provides

selected demodulation) except for disclosing performing adjustments to the sample rate when receiver is of the second and not the first type. Peterzell teaches performing adjustments to the sample rate when receiver is of the second and not the first type (see Peterzell at col. 7, lines 65-67, col. 8, col. 9, and Fig. 5).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Diab, and performing adjustments to the sample rate when receiver is of the second and not the first type, as taught by Peterzell, thus allowing increasing dynamic range of a receiver, as discussed by Peterzell (see Peterzell at col. 6, lines 17-27).

Regarding claims 15 and 21:

Diab discloses the adjuster is adapted to change to said sample rate by a fractional factor (see Diab at col. 23, lines 1-20, col. 6, lines 35-37).

Regarding claims 16 and 22:

Diab discloses the filter comprises an FIR filter (see Diab at Fig. 18, block 1820, 1840, 1830, and 1834) with adjustable tap coefficients which can be adjusted to allow the filter to perform filtering in the first manner and in the second manner (see Diab at col. 28, lines 32-35, col. 29, lines 13-25, where Diab describes the adaptive algorithm provides filter coefficients).

Regarding claim 17:

Diab discloses the filter is adapted to correct errors introduced by the adjuster (see Diab at col. 28, lines 54-63 and Fig. 18, where Diab describes the output of the filter is also a feedback to the adaptive algorithm block).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claims 19 and 24:

Diab does not specifically disclose a participant for a wireless communications network, the participant comprising the apparatus of claim 14. Peterzell teaches a participant for a wireless communications network, the participant comprising the apparatus of claim 14 (see Peterzell at abstract).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Diab, and have participant for a wireless communications network, as taught by Peterzell, thus allowing increasing dynamic range of a receiver, as discussed by Peterzell (see Peterzell at col. 6, lines 17-27).

3. Claims 18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diab et al (US 6,229,856 B1) in view of Peterzell et al (US 6,694,129 B2), as applied to claims 14 and 20 above, and further in view of Czaja et al (US 6,567,666 B2).

Regarding claims 18 and 23:

Diab does not specifically disclose the first type of receiver is a receiver operating according to a 3G telecommunications standard and comprising a rake receiver for operating on the signal and the second type of receiver is a receiver operating according to a 2G telecommunications standard and comprises an equalizer for operating on the signal. Czaja teaches first type of receiver is a receiver operating according to a 3G telecommunications standard and comprising a rake receiver for operating on the signal and the second type of receiver is a receiver operating according to a 2G telecommunications standard and comprises an

Application/Control Number: 10/538,784

Art Unit: 2611

equalizer for operating on the signal (see Czaja at col. 3, lines 65-67, col. 4, lines 1-14, col. 5, lines 13-29).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Diab, and have first type of receiver is a receiver operating according to a 3G telecommunications standard and comprising a rake receiver for operating on the signal and the second type of receiver is a receiver operating according to a 2G telecommunications standard and comprises an equalizer for operating on the signal, as taught by Czaja, thus allowing better quality of service, as discussed by Czaja (see Czaja at col. 2, lines 9-20).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIHONG YU whose telephone number is (571) 270-5147. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-7:00 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shuwang Liu can be reached on (571) 272-3036. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,784 Page 7

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lihong Yu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2611 /Shuwang Liu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611